

# External Examiners' Report

2021/2022

Proforma

General details	
Subject(s)	AMIL – Medical Law, Mental Health Law, Dissertation
Name of external examiner	Sharon Sinclair-Graham
Date of report	11 <sup>th</sup> July 2022

1 Academic Issues	
1.1 Were the standards of the questions set appropriate for Masters level?	YES
Please comment.	
<p>The academic standard of the questions set in the assessment were rigorous but fair and tested the students at a level appropriate to the level 7.</p>	
1.2 Were the assessments well-designed? i.e did they assess appropriately the learning outcomes set for the course.	YES
Please comment.	
<p>The module was well covered in the questions set and robustly challenged the students understanding of the material whilst ensuring learning outcomes were addressed. There was a good mix of problem questions and essay questions which required the students to be cognisant of the learning outcomes to get a good mark.</p> <p>Additionally, I questioned some of the answers given and the marker came back to me very quickly which was very reassuring.</p> <p>I have suggested that it would be appropriate in the next Medical Law assessment to set a compulsory problem question for the exam – this ensures that the students are able to identify legal issues and apply the law to them, testing their critical thinking abilities.</p>	
1.3 Was the standard of performance attained by candidates in general appropriate for Masters level?	YES
Please Comment	
<p>Yes, the attainment levels were appropriate and as expected for the questions set.</p>	

# Academic Masters in Law

---

1.4 Was the marking satisfactory and consistent?	YES
<p>Please Comment</p> <p>Yes, in the main the feedback that the markers provided was to a very high standard and was very thorough. There was one paper that I reviewed where the student had just fallen short, and I would have liked to have seen more feedback to give the student an insight as to what they needed to do to get to the next grade.</p>	
2 Administrative Issues: please make any comments you wish to make on:	
2.1 The process of setting assessments.	
2.2 The administration of assessment of coursework and the final examinations.	

Excellent

Excellent

## Academic Masters in Law

---

2.6 Any other procedural issues.  None	
--	--

## Academic Masters in Law

---

3 Quality Assurance Issues	
3.1 Were assessment policies and your duties as external examiner adequately explained to you?	YES
3.2 Did you have adequate access to any material needed to make the required judgements?	YES
3.3 Were your comments during the assessment process and at the July Examination Board considered appropriately	YES
3.4 Has appropriate action been taken in respect of comments made in your last examiner's report?	YES
<p>Please make any comments you wish to make on the above points.</p> <p>The team at UoL are exceptionally well prepared, the marking is consistent with very good feedback provided.</p> <p>All queries and feedback are always dealt with in an extremely competent manner. It has been a pleasure.</p>	

### 4. Areas of