

|  |  |
|--|--|
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| <b>% 5WUXY a JW =gg i Yg</b>   |            |
| 1.1 Were the standards of the questions set appropriate for Graduate Diploma level?  | <b>M9G</b> |
| Please comment.<br><br>The questions set were properly rigorous and challenging, enabl<br>subject matter.  |            |
| 1.2 Were the assessments well-designed? i.e did they assess appropriately the learning outcomes set for the course.  | <b>M9G</b> |
| Please comment.<br><br>The questions appropriately required the candidates to show knowledge, understanding, analysis and application. Simply stating the law was insufficient to gain marks. The candidates' answers needed to be well written and logically presented. |            |



; fUX i UhY' 8]d`c a U]b'@Uk



| <b>'E i U`]hm` 5gg i fUbWY`-gg i Yg`</b>  |             |
|---|-------------|
| 3.1 Were assessment policies and your duties as external examiner adequately explained to you?                  | <b>M9G`</b> |
| 3.2 Did you have adequate access to any material needed to make the required judgements?                        | <b>M9G</b>  |
| 3.3 Were your comments during the assessment process and at the July Examination Board considered appropriately | <b>M9G</b>  |
| 3.4 Has appropriate action been taken in respect of comments made in your last examiner's report?               | <b>B#5</b>  |

Please make any comments you wish to make on the above points.

Again all matters were dealt with very professionally. Comments made during the assessment process and at examination boards (including concessions boards) appeared to be welcome and appreciated.