





1.3 Was the standard of performance attained by candidates in general appropriate for Graduate Diploma level?	<u>YES/NO</u>
Please Comment  Yes, attainment was consistently high among the samples I saw, and also in the mark sheets, but this is to be expected of graduate entrants on this kind of programme.	
1.4 Was the marking satisfactory and consistent?	<u>YES/NO</u>

Please Comment

In some of my correspondence regarding the marking this year, I noted that there seems to be less evidence of concerted moderation than in previous years. I received a very clear reply regarding the slightly changed practices given the post-covid working realities, and this certainly made sense. In any case, as I commented at the time, I did not find there to be any discrepancies in marking either between sites or between modules in terms of grading. Not did I find any noticeable difference with previous years. The gradi



## 2 Administrative Issues: please make any comments you wish to make on:

2.1 The process of setting assessments.

**I find the change notice process extremely revealing and best practice for an external.**

2.2 The administration of assessment of coursework and the final examinations.

**No comments or observations to make here.**

2.3 The moderation process.

**See comments above.**

2.4 The conduct of the July Examination Board.

**No observations to make. I was not able to attend the board this year. I have always found Boards exemplary.**





**3 Qualit**