

2.2 Were the assessments well-designed? i.e did they assess appropriately the learning outcomes set for the course.	YES
<p>The assessments were very well designed and adequately assessed the learning outcomes for the course.</p>	

2.3 Was the standard of performance attained by candidates in general appropriate for Masters level?	YES

2.4 Was the marking satisfactory and consistent?	YES
<p>The marking schemes were thorough and designed to ensure a consistency of approach in the markers. This consistency was apparent when comparing the marks awarded by the internal second markers. I am satisfied that the standard of marking was satisfactory and consistent.</p>	

3 Administrative Issues: please make any comments you wish to make on:

3.1 The process of setting
assessments.

4 Quality Assurance I